	Yes/No	Points available	Points scored	Post or group responsible for each requirement	Document reference (*) or date by which systems will be in place (*see para 4.4.2)
perations and Maintenance section - 20%					(000 pa.uz/
	nce sys	shall be emp	oloyed on all aspec	cts of the process where any failure could	
1 Are there documented operating procedures for operations that may have an adverse impact on the environment?	Yes	2.0	2.0		
2 Is there a defined procedure for identifying reviewing and prioritising items of plant for which a preventative maintenance regime is appropriate?	Yes	2.0	2.0		
3 Are there documented procedures for monitoring emissions or impacts?	Yes	2.0	2.0		
4 Is there a preventative maintenance programme for those items of plant whose failure could lead to impact on the environment?	Yes	1.0	1.0		
Does the preventative maintenance programme include regular checks and formal inspections of 'static' items such as tanks, pipework, retaining walls, bunds and ducts?	Yes	1.0	1.0		
6 Do the operations and maintenance systems include auditing environmental performance?	Yes	2.0	2.0		
7 Are the reports, results and recommendations arising from audits made available to senior management on a regular basis?	Yes	2.0	2.0		
8 In the last two years, has there been any notifiable incident or release for which lack of maintenance was a contributory cause?		-2.0	0.0		
9 In the last two years, has there been any notifiable incident or release for which the root cause could not be identified?	No	-3.0	0.0		
Operations and Maintenance Tota		12.0	12.0	100.0%	2.0
ompetence and Training - 20%					
	•	•	raining with regard	to their responsibilities under the Permit	
circumstances; Prevention of accidental emissio The need to report deviation from	ronmentans and a	al effects fro	aken when accider	normal, abnormal, start up and shut dow	
Minimisation of all potential envir circumstances; Prevention of accidental emission	ronmenta	al effects fro	aken when accider		
Minimisation of all potential envirorize circumstances; Prevention of accidental emission. The need to report deviation from 1 Has a training needs assessment been carried out which: Identifies all posts for which specific environmental awareness training is required; and Identifies the scope and level to	ronmentans and a	al effects fro	aken when accider		
Minimisation of all potential envirorircumstances; Prevention of accidental emission The need to report deviation from 1 Has a training needs assessment been carried out which: Identifies all posts for which specific environmental awareness training is required; and Identifies the scope and level to which such training is to be given? 2 Are training systems in place for all relevant staff that cover the following factors: the regulatory requirements associated with the Permit as they affect their work activities and responsibilities;	ronmenta ns and a n the per Yes	al effects fro ction to be t mit. 3.0	3.0		
Minimisation of all potential envirorircumstances; Prevention of accidental emission The need to report deviation from 1 Has a training needs assessment been carried out which: Identifies all posts for which specific environmental awareness training is required; and Identifies the scope and level to which such training is to be given? 2 Are training systems in place for all relevant staff that cover the following factors: the regulatory requirements associated with the Permit as they affect their work activities and responsibilities; Iikely potential environmental impacts which may be caused by plant under their control. This should cover both normal and abnormal circumstances;	ronmenta ns and a n the per Yes	al effects fro ction to be t mit. 3.0 2.0	3.0 2.0		
Minimisation of all potential envir circumstances; Prevention of accidental emission The need to report deviation from 1 Has a training needs assessment been carried out which: Identifies all posts for which specific environmental awareness training is required; and Identifies the scope and level to which such training is to be given? 2 Are training systems in place for all relevant staff that cover the following factors: the regulatory requirements associated with the Permit as they affect their work activities and responsibilities; Ilkely potential environmental impacts which may be caused by plant under their control. This should cover both normal and abnormal	ronmenta ns and a n the per Yes	al effects fro ction to be t mit. 3.0	3.0 2.0		

	Operator Performance									
		Yes/No	Points available	Points scored	Post or group responsible for each requirement	Document reference (*) or date by which systems will be in place (*see para 4.4.2)				
	prevention of accidental emissions and action to be taken when accidental emissions occur?	Yes	2.0	2.0						
3	Are the skills and competencies necessary for key posts documented and are records of training needs and training received maintained?		1.0	1.0						
	Do the key posts include contractors, those responsible for liaising with contractors and those purchasing equipment and materials?	Yes	1.0	1.0						
5	Do you assess the potential environmental risks posed by the work of contractors and provide instructions to contractors about protecting the environment while working on site?		1.0	1.0						
	In the last 2 years, have there been any notifiable incidents or releases, which it has been identified that lack of training was a contributory cause?	No	-2.0	0.0						
7	If there industry standards for training in this sector (e.g. WAMITAB) if so do you apply them? (If no industry standards please leave blank)	Yes	-2.0	0.0						
8	Are individual and organisational training needs reviewed on a regular (e.g. annual) basis?	Yes	2.0	2.0						
	Competance Training Total		17.0	17.0						
	-	s and an n procedonce with rrective ans the op medial ad	action plan ture for hand permit cond action. erator shall ction immedi	to deal with suc ling, investigation itions including ately;	the contract of the contract o					
	ensure the Regulator is made									
'	Is there an accident plan that complies with the guidance covering the following aspects of foreseeable scenarios: liklihood consequences, actions to prevent, action to take in the event it occurs?	ſ	4.0	4.0						
	Has the plan identified areas where improvement is needed?	Yes	1.0	1.0						
3	Where improvement has been identified, does the plan include an implementation programme with acceptable timescales to the Agency? If not, 2 points will be deducted.	Yes	-2.0	0.0						
4	Are there written procedures for handling, investigating, communicating and reporting actual or potential non compliance with operating procedures or emission limits?	Yes	1.0	1.0						
5	Are there written procedures for handling, investigating, communicating and reporting environmental complaints?	Yes	1.0	1.0						
	Are there written procedures for investigating incidents, (and near-misses) including identifying suitable corrective action and following up implementation of that action?	Yes	2.0	2.0						
7	In the last 2 years, have there been any notifiable incidents or releases for which it has been identified that lack of emergency planning was a contributory cause?	No	-2.0	0.0		04/06/2008				

	Operator Performance									
		Yes/No	Points available	Points scored	requirement o	Oocument reference (*) or date by which systems will be in place *see para 4.4.2)				
	Are there audit records of investigations into non compliance, complaints and incidents? Does the audit cover follow up actions? Do the audit reports go to senior	Yes	3.0	3.0						
1	managers? Emergency planning Total		12.0	12.0						
			12.0	12.0						
Orga	nisation - 40% The following aspects of site managem	ent proc	edures and	controls may no	ot be in the permit conditions but are likely to					
1	have an impact on the Agency resource	s require	ed to apply tl	he PPC Regulati						
	N.B Please enter your Certificate Numb				heir UKAS Registration Number in the space for					
1.1	document reference. Is your Environmental Management	No	20	0						
•••	System EMAS registered? If yes select Y and go to question 4.									
1.2	Is your Environmental Management System certified to ISO 14001? If yes enter Y and go to questions 3 and 4.	Yes	15	15						
1.3	Is your system an Environmental Mangement System subject to external audit through a third party audit programme with a published methodology (excludes in-house company audit programme). If yes enter and go to questions 3 and 4.		12	0						
	Sub Total		Max 20	15.00						
2	If you do not operate an externally audit	ed envir	onmental ma	anagement syste	em then assessyour system against the criteria b	elow:				
2.1		mental p								
	includes a commitment to continual improvement and prevention of pollution?		1.0	0.0						
	includes a commitment to comply with relevant legislation, and with other requirements that the organisation subscribes to?		1.0	0.0						
	identifies, sets, monitors and reviews environmental objectives,		1.0	0.0						
2.2	independently of the permit? Are there procedures that incorporate e written procedures):	environm	ental issues i	nto the following	areas (as supported by demonstrable evidence e.g.					
	the control of process change on the installation;		1.0	0.0						
	design and review of new facilities (including provision for their decommisioning), engineering and other capital projects;		1.0	0.0						
	capital approval; purchasing policy;		1.0 1.0							
2.3			1.0							
2.4	Are they independent? (name the		2.0	0.0						
2.5	auditing body) Are there reports annually on environmental performance, objectives and targets, future planned improvements and or facilitate (participate in) local community liaison meetings?		1.0	0.0						
	Does your company produce a public environmental statement? You may score in this box for ISO 14001 and industry systems but not for EMAS as this is a requiremen for EMAS.	No	1.0	0.0						

3 of 5 04/06/2008

		Yes/No	Points available	Points scored		esponsible for each uirement	Document reference (*) or date by which systems will be in place (*see para 4.4.2)						
meet an improve by the Agency in the due date, with	years have you failed to ment condition either set a Permit or Variation by hout prior agreement? n failure). ADD NUMBER IOT Y OR N		-2.0	0.0									
	Organisational Totals		20.0	15.00			1						
Enforcement History	(0 to -40% weighting)				Notice etc	Date Issued	Date Spent						
Notices issued in Environment Age by the Health and relevant to the Crilocal authorities of Environmental Prelevant notice of issued by local accourts under Par Protection Act 19 than any overturn	approvement or Works In the past year by the Incorporation of Safety Executive OMAH Regulations or by Under Part I of the Incorporation	0	None 0 1st - 5 2nd -10 3rd or more -40		Notice etc	Date Issued	Date Spent						
	issued by the ency in respect offences attion in the last 3 years.	0	None 0 1st - 5 2nd -10 3rd or more -40	_									
3 Prohibition, Susp	pension or Revocation	0	None 0	_									
Agency under an Health and Safet the COMAH Reg authorities under Environmental P	rotection Act 1990, (other ned on appealed by the	1					None 0 1st - 10 2nd or more -40	2nd or more	1st - 10 2nd or more	-			
the Environment legislation, by the Executive releval regulations or by respect of offenc the Environment last 5 years (in la of imprisonment Operator) (other	rosecutions brought by Agency under any e Health and Safety nt to the COMAH local authorities (in es under Parts I or III of al Protection Act 1990) in ast 10 years where a term was imposed on the than any overturned on in individual offence counts	0	None 0 1st - 15 2nd or more -40										
Enforcement Hi	story Total	Entered	Spent	Extant	Score								
1 Enforcement or V		0	0	0	0	If score is -40 please	refer to Methodology page						
2 Formal Cautions	pension or Revocation	0	0	0			24						
Notices		0	0	0									
4 Convictions on P		-		J									

Operator Performance Yes/No Points Points scored Post or group responsible for each Document reference (*) or date by which systems will be in place available requirement (*see para 4.4.2) 8.0 Weighted Score 7.0 6.0 5.0 4.0 3.0 2.0 1.0 0.0 Enforcement Overall average weighted score Maintenance 20% Organisation 40% Training 20% Emergency Planning 20% History Penalty up to 40%

Band E= less than 2	BAND=	Α
D= 2 to 3.99, C= 4 to 5.99, B= 6 to 7.99, A= 8 to 11		

Company : Envirosol - Brownhills Permit: 0

Summary	Max		Normalised to scale out of 10	Weighting	Weighted score
Maintenance 20%	12.00	12.00	10.00	20.00	2.0
Training 20%	17.00	17.00	10.00	20.00	2.0
Emergency Planning 20%	12.00	12.00	10.00	20.00	2.0
Organisation 40%	20.00	15.00	7.50	40.00	3.0
Enforcement History Penalty -up to 40%	-40.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	0.0
Overa	9.0				

5 of 5 04/06/2008