Permit No:		Site Name:		
Date:		Operator:		THE OPPOSITE OF THE PRINCIPLE OF THE OPPOSITE OPPOSITE OPPOSITE OF THE OPPOSITE OP
Attribute	Bands	Points	Fees and Charges	
Complexity(s):	A	4	Application Fee	£3,888
Emissions:	၁	15	Standard Variation	£3,192
Location:	K	-	Full Surrender	£2,856
Operator Performance:	Ω	4	Part Surrender	£2,856
	Total:	24	Closure	£3,192
			Subsistence	See Charge Tables

 $\cdot$ 

						6	3=0C		Points		1 (-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Y Y	
		*********		<b>.</b>		235 Band:	=1000			<b>&gt;</b>			P	Band:	
	Emission Index		235				;, <10000=D, >								-18=D, >18=E
	Emission Threshold	1000	750	200	250	Total:	00=B, <1000=C			Yes - With interceptors					=B, 9-12=C, 13
nput)	Annual		176,000				lex: <10=A, <1		HISWEI	Yes - With i	No		No	4	nts: 1-4=A, 5-8:
Emissions Attribute (Waste Input)	Waste Type	ner	Non Hazardous (Non Bio)	Non Hazardous (Bio)	Hazardous		Emission Bands are based on total index: <10=A, <100=B, <1000=C, <10000=D, >=10000=E	Ouestion	The state of the s	Direct run-offs:	Air Quality Management Zone:		Flood Plain:	Total Points:	Location Bands are based on total points: 1-4=A, 5-8=B, 9-12=C, 13-18=D, >18=E
	Complexity Band: A		2nd Band:	ses tab)	ating/keeping activity.	entered. Where more relevant complexity		Points	The state of the s	•	<b>D</b>		D	2	KALUH KASI SA CACAS INI DASAKAN INI KASIKATAN INI KANJABUR KALI TAKAH CASIKA
	Comple			up table (see Reference) is received	is required only in	site type should be carrying the highest		Answer		. 250m -1km	No In:		No	Grade 3 or 4	SECONDO SECONDO MONTO CONTRACTO DE CONTRACTO
Complexity Attribute	Site Type: A15		Znd Site Type:	Complexity Bands are based on a lookup table (see References tab)  More than one Companyiv (Site Type) is gooding and in companying the compa	the permit in question covers a landfill activity and a treating/keeping activity.	than one site type applies, the one carrying the highest relevant complexity band is used	ocation Attribute	Question	Proximity to Himan Occupation:	ioning to right Occupation	Assessment under wildlife, countryside or habitats legislation:		Groundwater/Aquirers:	Sensitivity of surface water:	APPER PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT


•

(<u>\*</u>)

	Complexity Band (Activity) Risk Level:	LOW		
_	Operations & Maintenance			
4	Do you have documented operating procedures for operations that may have an adverse impact		Ť.	T
	on the environment?	yes	4	
2	Is there a defined procedure for identifying, reviewing and prioritising items of plant for which a preventative maintenance regime is appropriate?	yes	2	
A	Do you have a preventative maintenance programme for items of plant whose failure could lead to impact on the environment?	yes	2	Max = 12
5	Does the preventative maintenance programme include regular checks and formal inspections of infrastructure items such as tanks, pipework, retaining walls, bunds and ducts?	yes	2	Weight = 20%
6	Are the reports, results and recommendations arising from your own audits made available to senior management on a regular basis?	yes	2	Weighted Score
_	Operations and Maintenance Total		12	2.0
-				
_	Competence and Training - 20%		1	1
	Has a training needs assessment been carried out which:    Identifies all posts for which specific environmental awareness training is required; and   Identifies the scope and level to which such training is to be given?	Yes	3	
2	Are training systems in place for all relevant staff that cover the following factors:			_
	<ul> <li>the regulatory requirements associated with the Permit as they affect their roles and responsibilities;</li> </ul>	yes	2	
	<ul> <li>likely potential environmental impacts which may be caused by plant under their control.</li> <li>This should cover both normal and abnormal circumstances;</li> </ul>	yes	2	
	<ul> <li>reporting procedures to inform supervisors or managers of deviations from permit conditions;</li> </ul>	yes	3	
	<ul> <li>procedures to be used by supervisors or managers for the reporting of deviations from permit conditions to the Environment Agency</li> </ul>	yes	2	
	prevention of accidental emissions and action to be taken when accidental emissions occur?	yes	2	Max = 17
-	Do you assess the potential environmental risks posed by the work of contractors and provide instructions to contractors about protecting the environment while working on site?	yes	3	Weight = 20%
-	If there are industry standards for training in this sector (e.g. WAMITAB) do you apply them? (If no industry standards please leave blank)	yes	0	Weighted Score
	Competence Training Total		17	2.0
_	Emergency planning - 20%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	Is there an accident plan that complies with the guidance covering the following aspects of			[
	foreseeable scenarios: likelihood, consequences,actions to prevent, action to take in the event it occurs?	yes	10	
	Has the plan identified areas where improvement is needed?	no	0 .	
3	Where improvement has been identified, does the plan include an implementation programme with acceptable timescales to the Agency? If not, points will be deducted.	no	-4	NECT CHICAGO
			0	
1			0	Max = 12
1		,	0	Weight = 20%
			0	Weighted Score
+	Emergency planning Total		6	1.0

	Organisation - 40%			
	Internal/External Environment Management Systems: Answer either Question 1 or Question 2 NB: If your externally audited system is ISO9001 but you also have an internal environmental system, you may be able to obtain a better score by providing answers to question 2	l manager	nent	
1	Do you have a certified Environmental Management System, subject to external audit, which covers the activities allowed by this permit? If so which one?	•	0	
	Sub Total		0	
2	If you do not operate an externally audited environmental management system but have an ir one, assess your system against the criteria below:	iternal		
2.1	The state of the s			]
	☐ includes a commitment to continual improvement and prevention of pollution?	yes	3	
	includes a commitment to comply with relevant legislation, and with other requirements that the organisation subscribes to?	yes	3	
	identifies, sets, monitors and reviews environmental objectives, independently of the permit?	no	0	
2.2	Do you have an environmental policy and programme which is subject to audit by your company?	no	0	
2.3	Are there annual reports on environmental performance, objectives and targets, future planned improvements and/or do you participate in local community liaison meetings?	no	0	Max = 12
			0	Weight = 40%
4	Within the past 5 years have you failed to meet an improvement condition set by the Agency in a Permit or Variation by the due date, without prior agreement? (minus 2 for each failure). ADD NUMBER OF FAILURES NOT Y OR N	0	0	Weighted Score
	Organisational Total:		6.0	1.2

Please enter the number of times relevant enforcement actions have been pursued in connec	tion with v	our			
site. Note: the timescales over which action remains relevant depends on the type of enforce and is contained in the questions below					
1 Number of Enforcement, Improvement or Works Notices issued in the past year by the Environment Agency under any legislation, by the Health and Safety Executive relevant to the COMAH Regulations or by local authorities under Part I of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 or relevant notice or Abatement Notices issued by local authorities or magistrates courts under Part III of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (in all cases, other than any overturned on appeal by the Operator)	0				
2 Number of Formal cautions issued by the Environment Agency in respect of offences under any legislation in the last 3 years.	0				
Number of Prohibition, Suspension or Revocation Notices issued by the Environment Agency under any legislation, by the HSE relevant to the COMAH Regulations or by local authorities under Part I of the Environmental Protection Act 1990, (other than any overturned on appealed by the Operator) in the last 3 years	0				
Number of Convictions on prosecutions brought by the Environment Agency under any legislation, by the HSE relevant to the COMAH regulations or by local authorities (in respect of offences under Parts I or III of the Environmental Protection Act 1990) in last 5 years (in last 10 years where a term of imprisonment was imposed on the Operator) (other than any overturned on appeal)	0	Max = -40 Weight = 40% Weighted Score			
		0 0.0			
Overall Operator Performance Score:					
Band E= less than 2 D= 2 to 3.99, C= 4 to 5.99, B= 6 to 7.99 , A= 8 to 11	RAI	ND= E			



Operator Performance Summary	
Operations & Maintenance	2.0
Competence & Training	2.0
Emergency Planning	1.0
Organisation	1.2
Enforcement History	0.0
Overall Weighted Score	6.2